



## **Controlled U.S. Market Access Framework**

A structured model defining how premium travel operators access and scale within the U.S. market through controlled positioning, financial discipline, and closed distribution channels.

### **Market Reality**

Across U.S. and international expansion cases, a consistent pattern emerges.

Demand exists. Activity increases. Effort is applied.

However, margin, control, and scalability do not follow.

The constraint is rarely product quality or market demand, but how access, positioning, and distribution are structurally defined.

Without that alignment, growth remains present, but not economically efficient.

### **Financial Structure Overview**

Under the reference model:

- Three departures annually
- Private groups of six guests
- Average ticket value: USD 25,000 per traveler

This results in:

- Total gross revenue: USD 450,000
- Approximate retained margin (20%): USD 90,000

The annual retainer, at USD 82,800, positions break-even at a conservative threshold of three departures per year.

Within the Phoenix metropolitan area, with a population base of approximately 5 million, an estimated 300,000–350,000 individuals possess the financial capacity to engage in this category of travel.

When properly structured and positioned, this segment supports both rapid return on investment and stable, controlled scaling over time.



## **What the Structure Enables**

The retainer is not tied to individual departures.

It functions as the enabling mechanism for:

- Continuous U.S. market representation
- Access to closed, pre-qualified B2B distribution channels
- Controlled positioning within the executive and premium segment

This replaces fragmented, open-market exposure with a defined and managed access structure.

## **Why This Structure Is Paid**

The retainer is not a cost tied to individual transactions.

It represents access to a pre-structured market layer that would otherwise require significant time, capital, and iterative trial-and-error to build independently.

In practice, operators entering the U.S. market without such structure typically incur materially higher hidden costs through fragmented distribution, inconsistent demand, and loss of pricing control.

This framework replaces that uncertainty with a defined and controlled access model.

The retainer therefore reflects not activity, but the removal of structural inefficiencies and the acceleration of market positioning.

## **Positioning & Market Value**

Within the U.S. market, the combined value of:

- White-label deployment
- Closed distribution access
- Institutional positioning

typically ranges around USD 18,000 per month.

The current retainer of USD 6,900 reflects a deliberate structural gap, with the difference absorbed as an internal investment into the project.

This enables capital-efficient market entry without requiring upfront infrastructure, staffing expansion, or speculative exposure.



## **Structural Discipline**

A purely commission-based or kick-back model shifts the structure toward open-market behavior.

In practice, this leads to:

- Price pressure
- Unstable demand
- Loss of positioning and margin control

For that reason, the fixed retainer remains a non-variable component of the model.

At the same time, performance alignment is maintained through a 15% revenue-based participation layer, ensuring direct alignment with realized outcomes.

## **Next Step**

The next step remains unchanged:

Itinerary submission, followed by structural alignment and final positioning within the U.S. market.

*Engagement at this stage is typically not a question of necessity, but of timing.*